| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|---------------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 09/675,509 | FULTON ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Thaian N. Ton | 1632 |
| All Participants: | Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowance</u> | |
| (1) <u>Thaian N. Ton</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Richard Warburg</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: <u>13 January 2010</u> | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | cant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: None | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: None | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Discussed amending claim 10 to recite a eukaryotic expression vector in order to overcome potential issues of the same scope as claim 33. Mr. Warburg agreed to this amendment. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| /Thaian N. Ton/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1632 | (Applicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) |